

It is not in the best interest of America or individuals to allow for increased ownership of media outlets by the same entities. I would argue that single entities already own too much of our media outlets. How many cities have only one newspaper, and isn't it funny that most of the time the same company also owns a TV station? Imagine if a company could own the newspaper, a radio station (maybe more than one) and a couple of TV stations all in the same market -- I think we made anti-trust laws just to prohibit that kind of thing. What we need to prevent, at all costs, is any one company or individual from gaining a 'monopoly' on the media in a given area.

Just from a political point of view, if one voice owns most of the outlets in an area and that voice likes politician A over B. It's interesting how the 'news' now slants things just a bit to make A look better than B. It doesn't take long to extrapolate this to all sorts of things -- all of them bad.

If anything, ownership of multiple media outlets should be much more difficult to obtain or continue. Over and over we have seen how a monopoly is a bad thing, let's learn from our past and not repeat it.